

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/698,441	KISHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Abbas I Abdulselam. (3) _____.
 (2) Gang Luo. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,8 and 15-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yoshida et al. (USPN 6263500).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The independent claims state "predetermined operation", which includes any operation. Yoshida allows the changing of the channel, but not the content. Therefore Yoshida does not prohibit entering the operation. Therefore, the Yoshida reference is overcome and the rejection is withdrawn.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required